

Quantum Morphisms

Lecture 3

Last Week

Main Theorem: If $G \nrightarrow H$, then there exists a perfect quantum strategy for the (G, H) -hom game of the following form:

$$1) |Y\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |ii\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$$

2) $E_{gh} \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ & $F_{gh} \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ are projections $\forall g \in V(G), h \in V(H)$

$$3) F_{gh} = E_{gh}^T \quad g = g' \Rightarrow h = h' \text{ Synchronous}$$

Main Corollary: $G \nrightarrow H$ if and only if there exists $d \in \mathbb{N}$ & projections $E_{gh} \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ for all $g \in V(G), h \in V(H)$ satisfying

$$(1) \sum_{h \in V(H)} E_{gh} = I \quad \text{for all } g \in V(G)$$

$$(2) E_{gh} E_{g'h'} = 0 \quad \text{if } (g \neq g' \text{ or } h \neq h') \quad \underbrace{(g=g' \text{ and } h=h')}_{\text{redundant}}$$

$d=1$ case: By Condition (1) there is $\varphi: V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ such that $E_{gh} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \varphi(g) = h \\ 0 & \text{o.w.} \end{cases}$

$E_{g\varphi(g)} E_{g'\varphi(g')} = 1 \Rightarrow \varphi \text{ is a homomorphism by Condition (2)}$

Converse: If $\varphi: V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ is a homomorphism, then setting

$E_{gh} = \begin{cases} I & \text{if } \varphi(g) = h \\ 0 & \text{O.W.} \end{cases}$ gives a quantum homomorphism.

Exercise: if the E_{gh} pairwise commute, then $G \rightarrow H$.

Transitivity

E_{gh}

F_{hk}

Suppose that $G \xrightarrow{\quad} H$ and $H \xrightarrow{\quad} K$.

Can we show that $G \xrightarrow{\quad} K$?

How could they play the (G, K) -hom game?

Idea: play (G, H) game + then (H, K) game.

A + B sent $g, g' \in V(G)$ respectively, obtain $h, h' \in V(H)$ from (G, H) strategy. Then act as if h, h' were inputs to their (H, K) strategy to obtain $k, k' \in V(K)$.

$$g = g' \Rightarrow h = h' \Rightarrow k = k'$$

$$g \sim g' \Rightarrow h \sim h' \Rightarrow k \sim k'$$

In terms of projections: $P_{gh} := \sum_{h \in V(G)} E_{gh} \otimes F_{hk}$

$$\sum_k P_{gh} = \sum_{k,h} E_{gh} \otimes \underline{F_{hk}} = \sum_h E_{gh} \otimes (\sum_k \underline{F_{hk}}) = \underbrace{\sum_h E_{gh} \otimes I}_{=I} = I \Rightarrow I = I$$

matrix multiplication

$$g \sim g' + k \neq k'$$

$$P_{gk} P_{g'k'} = \sum_{h,h'} \underbrace{E_{gh} E_{g'h'}}_{=0 \text{ if } h \neq h'} \otimes \underbrace{F_{hk} F_{h'k'}}_{=0 \text{ if } h \sim h'} = 0$$

Quantum Colorings

$G \nrightarrow K_n$ iff \exists projections $E_{gi} \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ satisfying

$$1) \sum_{i=1}^n E_{gi} = I \quad \forall g \in V(G)$$

$$2) E_{gi} E_{ji} = 0 \quad \text{if } g \sim g'$$

Recall: $\chi(G) = \min \{ n : G \rightarrow K_n \}$

Definition (Quantum chromatic number):

$$\chi_q(G) := \min \{ n : G \nrightarrow K_n \}$$

"On the quantum chromatic number of a graph"
Cameron, Montanaro, Newman, Severini, Winter

Similarities between χ & χ_q

1) $G \rightarrow H \Rightarrow \chi(G) \leq \chi(H)$ By transitivity
 $G \nrightarrow H \Rightarrow \chi_q(G) \leq \chi_q(H)$

We say χ_q is monotone w/ respect to quantum homomorphism

2) $G \nrightarrow K_1 \Leftrightarrow G \rightarrow K_1$, i.e. G is edgeless.

$$E_{G_1} = I$$

3) $G \nrightarrow K_2 \Leftrightarrow G \rightarrow K_2$ i.e. G is bipartite.

Proof:

$$\begin{array}{c} u \\ \text{o---o} \\ v \end{array}$$
$$E_{u_1} = E_{u_1}(E_{v_1} + E_{v_2}) = E_{u_1}E_{v_2} = (E_{u_1} + E_{u_2})E_{v_2} = E_{v_2}$$

$$\text{Similarly } E_{u_2} = E_{v_1} \quad (E_{v_1}, E_{v_2}) = (E_{u_2}, E_{u_1})$$

Exercise: Show that $G \nrightarrow K_2$ for all graphs G .

4) $\chi_q(K_n) = n$

Proof: Exercise.

Uniform rank colorings

Suppose that $E_{gi} \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ give a quantum n -coloring of G .

Define

$$F_{gi} := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{n-1} E_{g(i+k)} = \begin{pmatrix} E_{gi} & & & \\ & E_{g(i+1)} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & E_{g(i+n-1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

subscripts
modulo n

Then the $F_{gi} \in \mathbb{C}^{nd \times nd}$ give a quantum n -coloring of G with $\text{rk}(F_{gi}) = d \quad \forall g \in V(G), i \in [n]$.

Definition: $\chi_q^r(G) = \min n$ s.t. G has a quantum n -coloring using only rank r projections.
(a rank- r quantum n -coloring of G)

Remark: $\chi_q^r(G) \leq \chi_q^1(G) \leq \chi(G) \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Open Problem: $\chi_q^r(G) \leq n \Rightarrow \chi_q^{r+1}(G) \leq n ?$

Suppose that $E_{gi} \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ give a rank- r quantum n -coloring of G .

Note that $d = rn$. Fix $i \in [n]$ and let $E_g = E_{gi} \quad \forall g \in V(G)$.

Then $g \sim g' \Rightarrow E_g E_{g'} = 0$.

The map $g \mapsto E_g$ is a d/r -projective representation of G . Its value is the rational number $\frac{d}{r}$.

Note: A 3/1-representation is not 6/2-representation, but they have the same value.

Definition (Projective rank)

$$\xi_f(G) := \inf \left\{ \frac{d}{r} : G \text{ has a } d/r\text{-representation} \right\}$$

Like a fractional quantum chromatic number.

$$\xi_f(G) \leq \chi_q(G), \chi_f(G)$$

Open Problems: $\xi_f(G) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\chi_q(G[K_n])}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} ?$

$$\xi_f(G) = \inf \left\{ \sqrt[n]{\chi_q(G^{*n})} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} ?$$

Rank-1 quantum colorings

Suppose $E_{g_i} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ give a rank-1 quantum n -coloring of G .

$$E_{g_i} = |\Psi_{g_i}\rangle \langle \Psi_{g_i}| \text{ for some } |\Psi_{g_i}\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

Conditions on the E_{g_i} translate to:

(1) $\{|\Psi_{g_i}\rangle : i \in [n]\}$ is an orthonormal basis $\forall g \in V(G)$

(2) $\langle \Psi_{g_i} | \Psi_{g_j} \rangle = 0$ (i.e. $|\Psi_{g_i}\rangle \perp |\Psi_{g_j}\rangle$) if $g \neq g'$.

Define $U_g := \sum_{i=1}^n |\Psi_{gi}\rangle \langle \Psi_{gi}|$ $\forall g \in V(G)$,

i.e. the i^{th} column of U_g is $|\Psi_{gi}\rangle$.

(1) U_g is unitary

(2) $(U_g^* U_{g'})_{ii} = 0$ if $g \sim g'$ (i.e. $U_g^* U_{g'}$ has zero diagonal)

Theorem: A rank-1 quantum n -coloring is equivalent to a homomorphism to $\underbrace{\text{Cay}(U(n), \{U \in U(n) : U_{ii}=0 \forall i\})}$.
Unitary derangement graph

For a fixed $i \in [n]$, the map $g \mapsto |\Psi_{gi}\rangle$ is an orthogonal representation of G in dimension $n = n/1$ - representation

Definition (Orthogonal rank)

$\xi(G) := \min\{n : G \text{ has an orthogonal representation in } \mathbb{C}^n\}$

$$\xi_*(G) \leq \xi(G) \leq \chi_q(G)$$

but neither $\xi(G) \leq \chi_q(G)$ nor $\chi_q(G) \leq \xi(G)$ holds for all G .

Constructions

Flat orthogonal representations

$|\Psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is **flat** if all of its entries have the same modulus, i.e. $|\langle i|\Psi\rangle|$ does not depend on i .
unit vector: $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$

Suppose that $g \mapsto |\Psi_g\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is a flat orthogonal representation of G .

F - $n \times n$ **flat** unitary, e.g. $F_{ij} = w^{ij}$, w a primitive n^{th} root of unity

Let

$$D_g = \sqrt{n} \operatorname{Diag}(|\Psi_g\rangle) - \text{unitary}$$

$$U_g = D_g F - \text{unitary}$$

$$(U_g^* U_{g'})_{ii} = (F^* D_g^* D_{g'} F)_{ii} = 0$$

Lemma: F flat unitary & D diagonal

$\Rightarrow F^* D F$ has constant diagonal.

$$\operatorname{Tr}(F^* D_g^* D_{g'} F) = \operatorname{Tr}(D_g^* D_{g'}) = \langle \Psi_g | \Psi_{g'} \rangle = 0$$

Example: Ω_n - orthogonality graph of the ± 1 vectors in \mathbb{R}^n .

Has flat orthogonal representation in dimension n by construction.

Ω_n is $\begin{cases} \text{edgeless if } n \text{ odd} \\ \text{bipartite if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$

$$\chi_q(\Omega_{4n}) \leq 4n = 4n$$

Frankl + Rödl (1987): $\chi(\Omega_{4n})$ grows exponentially in n .

Godsil + Newman (2008): $\chi_q(\Omega_{4n}) < \chi(\Omega_{4n}) \Leftrightarrow n \geq 3$.

Remarkable: $\chi_q(\Omega_{4n})$ known exactly
but not $\chi(\Omega_{4n})$.

The quaternion trick

$r = (r_0, r_1, r_2, r_3)^T \in \mathbb{R}^4$ unit vector

Then $\begin{pmatrix} r_0 \\ r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -r_1 \\ r_0 \\ r_3 \\ -r_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -r_2 \\ r_3 \\ r_0 \\ r_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -r_3 \\ -r_2 \\ r_1 \\ r_0 \end{pmatrix}$ form an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^4

$r^0 \quad r^1 \quad r^2 \quad r^3$

$r \perp s \Rightarrow r^i \perp s^i \quad \forall i=0,1,2,3$

Theorem: if G has an orthogonal representation in \mathbb{R}^4 ,
then $\chi_q(G) \leq 4$.

What does this have to do with quaternions?

Define $q(r) = r_0 + r_1 i + r_2 j + r_3 k$.

Then $q(r^1) = i q(r)$
 $q(r^2) = j q(r)$
 $q(r^3) = k q(r)$

$\langle r, s \rangle = \text{Re}(q(r) \overline{q(s)})$

$\langle r', s' \rangle = \text{Re}(iq(r) \overline{iq(s)}) = \text{Re}(q(r) \overline{q(s)})$

$\langle r', r^2 \rangle = \text{Re}(iq(r) \overline{j q(r)}) = \text{Re}(-k q(r) \overline{q(r)}) = k \text{ coefficient of } q(r) \overline{q(r)}$

Can also use octonions:

Theorem: If $\xi_R(G) \leq 8$, then $\chi_q(G) \leq 8$.